STAYS IN CIVIL APPEALS

by

HON. FRANCES E. CAFARELL

Clerk of the Court, New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division Fourth Department Rochester

STAYS IN CIVIL APPEALS

- I. Stays of all proceedings in a case (CPLR 2201):
 - A. May be granted by "the court in which an action is pending";
 - B. This means the court of original instance (*see Schwartz v New York City Hous. Auth.*, 219 AD2d 47, 48 [1996]; *Rhodes v Mosher*, 115 AD2d 351 [1985]).
- II. Stays of all proceedings to enforce the order or judgment appealed from (CPLR 5519):
 - A. Stays only "proceedings to enforce":
 - 1. A trial is not a proceeding to enforce an order denying a summary judgment motion, even if the order also directs the parties to proceed to trial (*see Matter of White v City of Jamestown*, 242 AD2d 979, 980 [1997]; *Baker v Board of Educ. of W. Irondequoit School Dist.*, 152 AD2d 1014 [1989]), but an arbitration can be stayed on appeal from an order denying an application to stay the arbitration pursuant to CPLR 7503 (*see Matter of Albany Port Dist. Commn. v Edward B. Fitzpatrick Jr. Constr. Co.*, 115 AD2d 898 [1985]).
 - 2. Obligation to file and serve an answer is not stayed on appeal from order denying a motion to dismiss (*see Rotondo v Reeves*, 192 AD2d 1086 [1993], *lv dismissed* 82 NY2d 706 [1993]; *Spillman v City of Rochester*, 132 AD2d 1008 [1987], *cf. Eastern Paralyzed Veterans Assn. v Metropolitan Transp. Auth.*, 79 AD2d 516 [1980] [First Department stayed obligation where order denying motion to dismiss also directed that answer be served within 15 days]).
 - 3. Motion for class certification is not stayed on appeal from order denying motion to dismiss (*see Fassl v New York State Dept. of Taxation & Fin.*, 159 AD2d 1029 [1990]).
 - 4. Obligation to serve and file notice of claim is not stayed when municipality appeals from order granting leave to file late notice (*see Dublanica v Rome Hosp./Murphy Mem. Hosp.*, 126 AD2d 977 [1987], *lv denied* 70 NY2d 605 [1987]), nor is obligation to serve summons and complaint (*see Ramunno v County of Westchester*, 224 AD2d 403, 403-404 [1996], *lv denied* 88 NY2d 803 [1996]).
 - 5. Trial on damages is not stayed on appeal from judgment on liability (*see Young v State of New York*, 213 AD2d 1084 [1995]).
 - 6. If order is "self-executing," i.e. no proceedings are necessary to enforce it, no stay is available under 5519 (see Crumb v Rodgers, 234 AD2d 1015 [1996]; see also Matter of Pickerell v Town of Huntington, 219 AD2d 24, 25 [1996]).

Similarly, some forms of injunctive relief can be stayed under 5519 while others cannot (*see Matter of M.S.B.A. Corp. v Markowitz*, 23 AD3d 390, 391 [2005]; *Karasek v Hallenbeck*, 185 AD2d 719 [1992]).

- B. Automatic Stays (CPLR 5519 [a] and [b]):
 - 1. Available to State or political subdivision under 5519 (a) (1).
 - 2. Available to any party under 5519 (a) (2) (7) upon compliance with conditions, generally the giving of an undertaking. Other requirements for undertaking are set forth in CPLR article 25.
 - 3. If party is insured, it can get automatic stay up to policy limits if insurer gives undertaking pursuant to 5519 (b).
- C. Discretionary stays (CPLR 5519 [c]):
 - 1. Can be granted by either court of original instance or appellate court.
 - 2. Can be made conditional, e.g. upon filing of a bond (*see Lancaster v Kindor*, 64 NY2d 1013 [1985]).
- D. Vacating automatic stay: per CPLR 5519 (c), only appellate court can do so (*see McLaughlin v Hernandez*, 4 Misc 3d 964, 969 [2004]).
- E. Continuation of stay (CPLR 5519 [e]):
 - 1. If order affirmed or modified an appeal, stay continues for five days after service of order of affirmance/modification with notice of entry. If party takes further appeal or moves for leave to appeal within those fives days, stay continues until further appeal or motion is determined.
 - 2. If party does neither within five days, can still obtain a new automatic stay (or move for a discretionary stay?) thereafter (*see Summerville v City of New York*, 97 NY2d 427 [2002]).

III. Inherent power to stay:

- A. "Supreme Court has inherent power in a proper case to restrain the parties before it from taking action which threatens to defeat or impair its exercise of jurisdiction" (*Matter of Schneider v Aulisi*, 307 NY 376, 386 [1954]).
- B. This power can be used if a stay is not otherwise available under the CPLR (*see Matter of Pokoik v Department of Health Servs. of County of Suffolk*, 220 AD2d 13, 15-16 [1996]; *Schwartz*, 219 AD2d at 48-49).

C. Examples:

- 1. Stay of criminal trial pending determination of motion to inspect grand jury minutes and dismiss indictment (*Schneider*, 307 NY at 384).
- 2. Stay of order declaring Civil Rights Law § 52 unconstitutional and allowing audiovisual coverage of criminal trial pending determination of original CPLR article 78 proceeding by the criminal defendant seeking writ of prohibition against enforcement of the order (*Matter of Santiago v Bristol*, 273 AD2d 813 [2000], appeal dismissed 95 NY2d 847 [2000], lv denied 95 NY2d 848 [2000]).
- 3. Stay of civil trial where insurer is receivership had been granted an injunction by court of coordinate jurisdiction against all proceedings involving its insureds (*Rapone v Waste Mgt. of N.Y.*, Docket No. CA 02-00755 [unpublished order entered Aug. 27, 2002]).

IV. Bankruptcy stay (11 USC § 362):

- A. Stays all proceedings against that party (see Warfield v Terry, 238 AD2d 765 [1997]; Gianniny v Gianniny, 207 AD2d 1037 [1994]).
- B. Only protects debtor and those other parties obligated to indemnify it, not necessarily other parties in multi-party appeal (*see Howell v New York Post Co.*, 81 NY2d 115, 118 n 1 [1993]; *Murnane Assoc. v Harrison Garage Parking Corp.*, 217 AD2d 1003 [1995]; *Central Buffalo Project Corp. v Edison Bros. Stores*, 205 AD2d 295, 297 [1994]).